Case 3:15-cv-04985-RS Document 41 Filed 12/21/15 Page 1 of 5

1 2 3 4	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP Harrison J. Frahn IV (CA Bar No. 206822) hfrahn@stblaw.com 2475 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 251-5000 Facsimile: (650) 251-5002	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	NORTHERN DISTRI	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
13 14	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	APPLE INC., Petitioner, vs. BYD PRECISION MANUFACTURING CO., LTD. AND BYD COMPANY LIMITED, Respondents.	JOINT STIPULATION TO (1) EXTEND TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF AND CONTINUE HEARING (APPLE'S MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION) AND (2) EXTEND TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION AND REPLY BRIEFS AND CONTINUE HEARING (BYD COMPANY LIMITED'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION); and [PROPOSED] ORDER Hon. Richard Seeborg

Case 3:15-cv-04985-RS Document 41 Filed 12/21/15 Page 2 of 5

1	Petitioner Apple Inc. ("Apple") and Respondents BYD Precision Manufacturing Co., Ltd
2	("Precision") and BYD Company Limited ("Limited" and, together with Precision,
3	"Respondents") jointly stipulate, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, and 7-12, to extend
4	the time to file any reply brief regarding Apple's Motion to Compel Arbitration and for
5	Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. 3) (the "Motion to Compel Arbitration"), to extend the time to file
6	any opposition and reply briefs regarding Limited's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal
7	Jurisdiction (Dkt. 34) (the "Motion to Dismiss"), and to continue the hearing on the Motion to
8	Compel Arbitration and Motion to Dismiss.
9	WHEREAS, on November 16, 2015, Apple filed a motion with this Court to (1) extend
10	the deadline for any opposition to the Motion to Compel Arbitration from November 17, 2015 to
11	November 24, 2015, and (2) extend the deadline for any reply in support of the Motion to
12	Compel Arbitration from November 24, 2015 to December 1, 2015 (Dkt. 24);
13	WHEREAS, this Court granted Apple's motion to extend time, and rescheduled the
14	hearing on the Motion to Compel Arbitration for December 17, 2015 (Dkt. 25);
15	WHEREAS, on November 23, 2015, Apple and Respondents filed a joint stipulation with
16	this Court to (1) extend the deadline for any opposition to the Motion to Compel Arbitration
17	from November 24, 2015 to December 8, 2015, (2) extend the deadline for any response to
18	Apple's Petition for Order Compelling Arbitration and for Injunctive Relief Pending Arbitration
19	from November 24, 2015 to December 8, 2015, (3) extend the deadline for any reply in support
20	of the Motion to Compel Arbitration from December 1, 2015 to December 22, 2015, and (4)
21	continue the hearing on the Motion to Compel Arbitration from December 17, 2015 to January
22	14, 2016 (Dkt. 26);
	1

WHEREAS, this Court granted the parties' joint stipulation to extend time without revision (Dkt. 27);

WHEREAS, on December 14, 2015, counsel for Apple and Respondents met and conferred regarding Apple's request for a stipulation to take jurisdictional discovery prior to filing an opposition to the Motion to Dismiss;

28

23

24

25

26

27

Case 3:15-cv-04985-RS Document 41 Filed 12/21/15 Page 3 of 5

1	WHEREAS, on December 15, 2015, Respondents rejected Apple's request for such a
2	stipulation and Apple instead moved this Court for such relief in its Administrative Motion to
3	Extend Time for Briefing and Hearing and for Leave to Take Early Jurisdictional Discovery
4	(Dkt. 37) (the "Administrative Motion For Discovery");
5	WHEREAS, Apple's opposition to the Motion to Dismiss may now be due prior to the
6	Court's ruling on the Administrative Motion For Discovery;
7	WHEREAS, on December 18, 2015, Respondents requested that Apple stipulate to an
8	extension regarding Respondents' deadline to appoint an arbitrator in the related ICC Arbitration
9	that forms the basis for this proceeding, and Respondents agreed to extend Apple similar
10	courtesy with respect to the deadlines in this matter;
11	Now therefore, and notwithstanding any additional extension of time that the Court may
12	grant in response to the Administrative Motion For Discovery, the parties, through the
13	undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:
14	that the deadline for filing any reply in support of the Motion to Compel
15	Arbitration be extended to January 4, 2016;
16	• that the deadline for filing any opposition to the Motion to Dismiss be extended to
17	January 4, 2016;
18	• that the deadline for filing any reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss be
19	extended to January 14, 2016;
20	• that the hearing on the Motion to Compel Arbitration and Motion to Dismiss be
21	continued to January 28, 2016; and
22	• the foregoing dates shall be reset should the Court grant the Administrative
23	Motion For Discovery and approve the proposed schedule set forth therein (Dkt.
24	37).
25	Pending the Court's determination of the Administrative Motion for Discovery, the
26	parties' proposed time modification would impact the deadlines in this case as follows:
27	
28	

Case 3:15-cv-04985-RS Document 41 Filed 12/21/15 Page 4 of 5

	Deadline	Current Date	Requested	
		12/22/2015	Date	
	Apple's reply in support of the Motion to Compel Arbitration	12/22/2015	01/04/2016	
	Apple's opposition to the Motion to Dismiss	12/22/2015	01/04/2016	
	Limited's Reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss	12/29/2015	01/14/2016	
	Hearing on the Motion to Compel Arbitration and Motion to Dismiss	01/14/2016	01/28/2016	
Dated:	December 21, 2015 Sl	IMPSON THACHE	CR & BARTLETT LLP	
	B	y: <u>/s/ Harrison J. Fr</u> Harrison J. Frahr	r <u>ahn IV</u> n IV	
	Attorneys for Petitioner Apple Inc.			
		Thiorneys jor 1 ci	moner rippie me.	
	SK V DDI	EN, ARPS, SLATE,	MEACHED & ELOMII	
	SKADDI	211, 1111 D, DE111E,	, MICAUTICK & PLOM LI	
	SKADDI	511, 7111 S, SE211E,	, MEAGHER & PLOM EI	
		y: /s/ Lance A. Etch	neverry	
		y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etchev	neverry erry	
		y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res	neverry	
		y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD	neverry erry spondents BYD Company	
	В	y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD Ltd.	neverry erry spondents BYD Company O Precision Manufacturing	
	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), the	y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD Ltd.	neverry erry spondents BYD Company O Precision Manufacturing	
docum	В	y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD Ltd.	neverry erry spondents BYD Company O Precision Manufacturing	
docum	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), the	y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD Ltd.	neverry erry spondents BYD Company O Precision Manufacturing ncurrence in the filing of the	
docum	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), the	y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD Ltd.	neverry erry spondents BYD Company O Precision Manufacturing ncurrence in the filing of the	
docum	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), the	y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD Ltd.	neverry erry spondents BYD Company O Precision Manufacturing ncurrence in the filing of the	
docum	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), the	y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD Ltd.	neverry erry spondents BYD Company O Precision Manufacturing ncurrence in the filing of the	
docum	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), the	y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD Ltd.	neverry erry spondents BYD Company O Precision Manufacturing ncurrence in the filing of the	
docum	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), the	y: /s/ Lance A. Etch Lance A. Etcheve Attorneys for Res Limited and BYD Ltd.	neverry erry spondents BYD Company O Precision Manufacturing ncurrence in the filing of the	

3

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS 2 ORDERED THAT: 3 1. the deadline for any reply in support of Apple's Motion to Compel Arbitration and for 4 Preliminary Injunction be extended from December 22, 2015 to January 4, 2016; 5 2. the deadline for any opposition to Limited's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal 6 Jurisdiction be extended from December 22, 2015 to January 4, 2016; 7 3. the deadline for any reply in support of Limited's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal 8 9 Jurisdiction be extended from December 29, 2015 to January 14, 2016; and 4. the hearing on Apple's Motion to Compel Arbitration and for Preliminary Injunction and 10 Limited's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction be continued from January 11 12 14, 2016 to January 28, 2016. Wild Salm 13 14 DATED: <u>12/21</u>, 2015 15 The Honorable Richard Seeborg United States District Court Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28